

**College of Agriculture and Life Sciences
Office of Academic Programs
Course Evaluation
FALL 2016**

Course
NTRES 3311 LEC 001

Instructor
/Ghosh

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Student Year</u>		<u>School or College</u>		<u>Course Intended/Required for Major</u>	
8 Male	0 Freshmen	13 Seniors	27 Ag & Life Science	0 Human Ecology	24 Yes	2 Undecided
26 Female	5 Sophomores	0 Grad Students	1 Arch, Art & Plan	1 ILR	14 No	1 Declined
6 Declined	23 Juniors	0 Other/Declined	12 Arts & Sciences	0 Unclassified/ExMu		
			0 Engineering	0 Graduate School		
			0 Hotel	0 Declined		

<u>Approximate Grade in Course</u>			<u>Approximate Cum Average</u>			<u>Average is:</u>	<u>Reason for Taking Course</u>	
7 A	0 D	0 U	4 4.0	1 2.5	0 1.0	32 Cornell Ave.	20 Required for Major	0 Required for Grad Work
31 B	0 F	2 Declined	18 3.5	2 2.0	1 n/a	3 Transfer Ave.	1 Has Great Reputation	3 Other
0 C	1 S		11 3.0	0 1.5	4 declined	6 Declined	25 Subject Matter of Interest	

		Completely Disagree					Completely Agree			
		Resp. 1	Resp. 2	Resp. 3	Resp. 4	Resp. 5	No Resp.	Mean	Std. Dev.	
8.	The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching	0	1	1	12	27	0	4.59	0.67	
9.	The instructor encouraged a variety of viewpoints	0	1	2	19	19	0	4.37	0.70	
10.	The instructor conducted an informative & stimulating lecture or class	1	8	6	15	11	0	3.66	1.15	
11.	The instructor demonstrated command of the subject matter	0	2	8	9	22	0	4.24	0.94	
12.	The instructor was well prepared for each class	0	1	2	12	26	0	4.54	0.71	
13.	The instructor presented material across in an interesting way	1	9	10	11	10	0	3.49	1.16	
14.	The instructor was responsive to questions	0	0	1	11	29	0	4.68	0.52	
15.	The course was structured so I could get help when needed	1	0	10	8	22	0	4.22	0.99	
16.	The instructor treated me fairly	1	0	2	10	28	0	4.56	0.81	
17.	The instructor effectively engaged students in class	0	4	12	12	13	0	3.83	1.00	
18.	I would recommend this course to a fellow student	5	4	7	10	15	0	3.63	1.39	
19.	The course was well planned and organized	0	3	10	11	17	0	4.02	0.99	

**College of Agriculture and Life Sciences
Office of Academic Programs
Course Evaluation
FALL 2016**

Course
NTRES 3311 LEC 001

Instructor
/Ghosh

	Completely Disagree Completely Agree					No Resp.	Mean	Std. Dev.
	Resp. 1	Resp. 2	Resp. 3	Resp. 4	Resp. 5			
20. I learned a great deal in this course	1	7	11	9	13	0	3.63	1.18
21. I gained applicable skill and/or knowledge from this course	0	6	12	11	12	0	3.71	1.05
22. The course helped me develop the ability to think through a problem or argument	1	3	10	13	14	0	3.88	1.05
23. This course challenged me intellectually	1	6	13	14	7	0	3.49	1.03
24. I think that the stated learning outcomes of this course were met	1	0	5	17	16	2	4.21	0.86
25. During the semester, the instructor made me aware of the course learning objectives	0	2	4	16	19	0	4.27	0.84
26. This teacher deserves an overall rating of: 1= very poor instructor 5 = excellent instructor	0	4	10	12	15	0	3.93	1.01
27. This course deserves an overall rating of: 1= very poor course 5 = excellent course	0	1	18	15	7	0	3.68	0.79

Average Scores on Questions Relating To:

Teaching Skills:	4.11	Averages are calculated based on a scale of: 1 = least favorable 5 = most favorable
Knowledge of Subject Matter:	4.24	
Classroom Climate:	4.28	
Overall:	3.94	

College of Agriculture and Life Sciences
Office of Academic Programs
Course Evaluation
 FALL 2016

Course
 NTRES 3311 LEC 001

Instructor
 /Ghosh

	Resp. 1	Resp. 2	Resp. 3	Resp. 4	Resp. 5	No Resp.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Question 28	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 29	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 30	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 31	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 32	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 33	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 34	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 35	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 36	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 37	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 38	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 39	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 40	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 41	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 42	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 43	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 44	0	0	0	0	0	41		
Question 45	0	0	0	0	0	41		